



# City of Greensboro

Melvin Municipal  
Office Building  
300 W. Washington Street  
Greensboro, NC 27401

## Agenda Report

File Number: 2025-449

---

### Agenda Item# I.3.

**Agenda Date:** 6/3/2025. **Department:** Economic Development

**Meeting Type:** Council Meeting **Category:** Public Hearing Agenda

**Title:** 2025 – 449 Resolution Requesting to Remove Property (Parcel 344, 351, 471, 470, 469, 467, and 466) from Business Improvement District (BID)

**Council Priority: Place an ‘x’ in the box.**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Safest City                     | <input type="checkbox"/> Most Skilled Workforce                                       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Easiest Place to Do Business    | <input type="checkbox"/> Most Connected City  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Youth Sports Capital            | <input type="checkbox"/> Hub of Recreation and Entertainment                          |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Abundance of Attainable Housing | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other/Admin <u>Briefly Explain 3 word maximum</u> |

**Council District:** District 3

**Public Hearing:** Yes

**Advertising Date/By:** 5/22/2025/by City Clerk

**Contact 1 and Phone:** City Manager’s Office Ext.2002

**Contact 2 and Phone:** Tony Baker, Ext. 2320

### PURPOSE:

Public Hearing pursuant to NCGS 160A-538.1 for Council to consider removing the properties listed below from the Business Improvement District.

300 S. Elm Street, Parcel 344

318 S. Elm Street, Parcel 351

631 S. Elm Street, Parcel 471

633 S. Elm Street, Parcel 470

635 S. Elm Street, Parcel 469

107 East Gate City Blvd., Parcel 467

107 YY East Gate City Blvd., Parcel 466

### BACKGROUND:

NCGS 160A-538.1 allows a property owner to request that their property be removed from the BID where the property owner must show, at a public hearing, that the property “is not in need of the services, facilities, or functions of the proposed district to a demonstrably greater extent than the remainder of the city...” At the conclusion of the public hearing, Council has the discretion to determine if the property owner has provided sufficient basis to remove the property from the BID. This is not a quasi-judicial hearing, but a public hearing – meaning that the Council may

*Vision Statement: “A Community with Endless Economic Opportunities and Exceptional Quality of Life.”*

consider anything that the Council believes to be relevant to the issue and their decision will be upheld so long as there is at least one rational reason to support their decision.

In addition, the question before the Council is limited to the services provided in the BID, regardless of whether those services are provided by DGI, the City, or some other vendor, and whether the petitioner's property benefits from those additional BID services to a greater extent than the remainder of the City.

**BUDGET IMPACT:**

Potential loss of BID Revenue as follows:

300 S. Elm Street, Parcel 344	\$645
318 S. Elm Street, Parcel 351	\$447.84
631 S. Elm Street, Parcel 471	\$370.50
633 S. Elm Street, Parcel 470	\$80.19
635 S. Elm Street, Parcel 469	\$144.99
107 East Gate City Blvd., Parcel 467	\$24.57
107 YY East Gate City Blvd., Parcel 466	<u>\$37.26</u>
	\$1750.35

**ACCOUNT NUMBER:**

Fund 210 Accounts.

**RECOMMENDATION / ACTION REQUESTED:**

It is recommended that City Council deny this request upon an insufficient showing by the petitioner.