

**Item: 817-YY,819,821,823,827,827-ZZ,827-ZZ1 and 829 Guilford College Road and 5520,5520-YY,5524,5600,5600-ZZ Sapp Road and portion of 5526 Sapp Road  
Original Zoning and Rezoning**

Date: June 21, 2022

**Zoning Amendment Statement by City Council on Consistency with Adopted Comprehensive Plan and Reasonableness**

The **Greensboro City Council** believes that its action to **approve/deny** the original zoning and rezoning request for the properties described as 817-YY, 819, 821, 823, 827, 827-ZZ, 827-ZZ1, and 829 Guilford College Road and 5520, 5520-YY, 5524, 5600, 5600-ZZ Sapp Road, and a portion of 5526 Sapp Road from **County RS-40 (Residential Single-family), City CD-C-M (Conditional District – Commercial Medium), and City R-3 (Residential Single-family - 3) to City PUD (Planned Unit Development)\*** to be **consistent** with the adopted GSO 2040 Comprehensive Plan and considers the action taken to be reasonable and in the public interest for the following reasons: **[choose from the following based on the motion]**

Factors that support <b>approval</b> of the rezoning request:	Factors that support <b>denial</b> of the rezoning request:
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The request is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan’s Future Built Form Map and Future Land Use Map.</li> <li>2. The proposed PUD zoning district, as conditioned, permits uses which fit the context of surrounding area and limits negative impacts on the adjacent properties.</li> <li>3. The request is reasonable due to the size, physical conditions, and other attributes of the area, it will benefit the property owner and surrounding community, and approval is in the public interest.</li> <li>4. <b>Other factors raised at the public hearing, if applicable (describe)</b></li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The request is inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan’s Future Built Form Map and Future Land Use Map.</li> <li>2. The proposed PUD zoning district, as conditioned, permits uses which do not limit negative impacts on the adjacent properties nor does it permit uses which fit the context of surrounding area.</li> <li>3. The request is not reasonable due to the size, physical conditions, and other attributes of the area, it will be a detriment to the neighbors and surrounding community, and denial is in the public interest.</li> <li>4. <b>Other factors raised at the public hearing, if applicable (describe)</b></li> </ol>

*\*This motion has a Unified Development Plan associated with it and a separate motion will be required to approve/deny the Unified Development Plan.*